

Dear Mr. President,

Some interests will attempt to steer you away from examining the Islamic Republic of Iran's Constitution, claiming that "we cannot interfere with another country's constitution." That argument collapses the moment we ask a simple, unavoidable question:

What if another nation wrote into its constitution a mandate for hostility against the United States? Would we simply look the other way?

This is exactly what the Regime of Iran (not the Iranian people) has done.

The preamble of the Islamic Republic's Constitution does not begin with representation, democratic legitimacy, or "We the People." Instead, it openly declares a global ideological mission. After pages of revolutionary propaganda, it claims the regime will prevail on a global scale and impose its interpretation of Islamic law worldwide.

It then goes further: in multiple articles, it codifies the duty to confront and sabotage American interests, Americans, and U.S. allies anywhere in the world.

This is not rhetoric.

This is not policy debate.

This is constitutional doctrine.

You understand the Regime of Iran better than your advisors, and you have never needed speechwriters to tell the truth about its nature. And the truth is this:

The United States cannot form "normal relations," lasting agreements, or strategic trust with a government that constitutionally obligates itself to wage hostility against us.

Inside Iran, discussions about a future Constitutional Assembly have been building for years. But the concerns of the Iranian people and the strategic concerns of the United States are not identical. Both are legitimate — but they are not the same.

For the United States, the bottom line is straightforward:

No normalization with the Regime of Iran is possible until the constitutional mandate for hostility against America is removed.

Therefore, I urge Congress to read the Islamic Republic's Constitution in full and pass legislation stating explicitly that any normalization of relations is conditional upon the removal of these hostile clauses.

And if the regime refuses, the United States should not reward aggression. Instead, we should impose smart, targeted sanctions directly on the corrupt oligarchs and regime elites who have stolen Iran's wealth and parked their assets in Western banks and real estate markets.

These are not radical demands. They are reasonable, strategic, and fully aligned with American interests.

If these two conditions are fulfilled —

removal of constitutional hostility, and

sanctioning corrupt regime elites —

then there will be no inherent conflict between the United States and Iran.